

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/020,932 | 12/19/2001 | Mitsuyuki Goto | 217593US2 | 9890 |
| 22850 75 | 590 10/13/2005 | EXAMINER | | |
| OBLON, SPI 1940 DUKE ST | VAK, MCCLELLAN freet | KRAMER, JAMES A | | |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3627 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|-----------------|--------------|
| 10/020,932 | GOTO ET AL. |
| Examiner | Art Unit |
| James A. Kramer | 3627 |

| | | | ł. |
|---|--|---|--|
| | James A. Kramer | 3627 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | Iress |
| THE REPLY FILED 03 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS. | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: | owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | iffidavit, or other evidence with 37 of the compliance with 37 of the | ence, which CFR 41.31; or |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of | date of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th | | | er is later. In no |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f |). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent for the part of the control of the shortened. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | on fee under 37) as set forth in (b) |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | - 1'''' | £16 - 414E-1 - 4 | 41 - 44 - 14 |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must l | extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal | of the appeal. |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | | | because |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | IE below); | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | • | educing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally re | ejected claims. | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) | | | |
| 4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. | • | ompliant Amendmen | t (PTOL-324). |
| 5. 📃 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | | • | • |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ill be entered and an | explanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | eal and/or appellant fa | ails to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. | ut does NOT place the application i | in condition for allowa | ance because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | | / |
| | | Tuch | act luff |
| | · · | MICH PRIM AR | ac luff |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) ر

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant asserts that the prior art of record does not teach a step that decides a range of output enabled data to the party concerned based on stored identification data. Examiner notes that this is interpreted as limiting output data based on a user's login information. As such, Examiner notes that the references teach this step..